

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/820,589	20,589 04/08/2004		Kohei Yamada	3234/1	8154	
23638	7590	06/23/2006		EXAMINER		
ADAMS E			SELLS, JAMES D			
2180 TWO V CHARLOTT				ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
	•			1734		
			DATE MAILED: 06/23/2006	5		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/820,589	YAMADA, KOHEI	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	James Sells	1734	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPONDING TO STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPONDING THE MAILING IN THE MAILING T	DATE OF THIS COMMUN .136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ate, cause the application to become	ICATION. In reply be timely filed INTHS from the mailing date of this communical ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
· <u> </u>	is action is non-final.	ttore procesution on to the morit	o io
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	·		S IS
i dosed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayre, 1000 C.	D. 11, 400 O.O. 210.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdres 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination is objected to by the Examination The specific Theorem.	ccepted or b) objected to e drawing(s) be held in abeyonetion is required if the drawing	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bures* * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Ints have been received in lority documents have bee au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/820,589 Page 2

Art Unit: 1734

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bohm et al (US Patent 5,230,940) in view of Beggs et al (US Patent 4,384,020).

Bohm discloses a process and system for forming textile materials. As shown in the figures, latex layer 3, barrier layer 4 and backcoating 5 are applied to one side of layer 1 and tufts 2 are applied to the other to form a noise absorbing carpet. Various materials may be employed in the latex, barrier and backcoating layers (see col. 2, line 3 through col. 4, line 58 and examples 1-2).

However, Bohm does not disclose perforating the backing later in the manner claimed by the applicant. Regarding this difference, the applicant is directed to the reference of Beggs.

Beggs discloses a noise attenuating structure. As shown in Fig. 1, the structure 8 comprises facing sheet 14 with a plurality of perforations 18 formed therethrough. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to employ a plurality of perforations in a sheet material, as taught by Beggs, in the process and system of Bohm in order to improve noise attenuation. In addition, without the disclosure of unexpected results, it is the examiner's position that the specific perforating and

Application/Control Number: 10/820,589 Page 3

Art Unit: 1734

bonding techniques claimed by the applicant are well known and conventional in the art and would have been obvious to employ in the process and system of Bohm in order to facilitate production of the textile materials.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed April 12, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

In response to applicant's argument that Beggs et al is nonanalogous art, it has been held that a prior art reference must either be in the field of applicant's endeavor or, if not, then be reasonably pertinent to the particular problem with which the applicant was concerned, in order to be relied upon as a basis for rejection of the claimed invention. See *In re Oetiker*, 977 F.2d 1443, 24 USPQ2d 1443 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, it is the examiner's position that Beggs is at least reasonably pertinent to the particular problem with which the applicant was concerned. Therefore applicant's argument is believed to be incorrect in this instance.

Telephone/Fax

Application/Control Number: 10/820,589 Page 4

Art Unit: 1734

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to James Sells whose telephone number is (571) 272-

1237. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday between 9:30 AM and

6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Chris Fiorilla can be reached at (571) 272-1187. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time

policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

JAMES SELLS
PRIMARY EXAMINER

TECH. CENTER 1700